## Fertility & Midwifery Care Center

## Once a Cesarean, Always a Cesarean?

## Vaginal birth after cesarean section

One of the most common reasons that a woman undergoes a cesarean section is due a previous cesarean

section. That is, their physician subscribes to the "once a cesarean always a cesarean" philosophy. Yet many women have successful vaginal deliveries after a prior cesarean section. What is the right answer? What does the research tell us? What is best for you and your baby?

There was a time in the early 1990's when the thinking was that everyone and anyone could attempt a vaginal birth after cesarean section (VBAC). There were even some areas in the country where insurance companies required patients to have a so-called trial of vaginal labor before they would allow a repeat cesarean section. However, over time reports surfaced of bad, even catastrophic outcomes from women attempting VBAC. As a result, physicians and hospitals in some areas stopped VBAC programs altogether and our national cesarean section rates have increased dramatically as a result. More recently, thanks to new research and policy statements from national medical organizations, VBAC is on the comeback. Yet change comes slowly in medical practice and – despite new recommendations – VBAC remains unobtainable for far too many women. ...this is a decision that should be based on an individual women's comfort with the various risks in close consultation with her obstetrician.

What drives many physicians and hospitals away from VBAC? The problem lies with the potential for the scar in the uterus from the previous cesarean section to separate or rupture during labor. If this happens, the result can be truly catastrophic with severe injury and even death of the baby with similarly devastating consequences for the mother. The research data is challenging to interpret when it comes to the likelihood of VBAC complications. It is difficult if not impossible to predict when and to whom a complication may occur. To make matters worse, there has been and will likely always remain an intense fear of litigation resulting from a VBAC complication. As a result, the default position of many physicians and some hospitals has been to perform repeat cesarean sections in hopes of avoiding VBAC complications. However, an important detail to remember is that repeat cesarean sections also contain significant risks. There are no zero-risk alternatives; both repeat cesarean section and VBAC have risks. **So what is one to do?** There are risks to having a repeat cesarean section <u>and</u> risks to attempting VBAC. How do you choose which set of risks to accept? Sadly, many patients and physicians alike underestimate the risks of repeat cesarean section while overestimating the risks of VBAC. From a physician's perspective, this makes sense because the risks of repeat cesarean section are considered acceptable risks of surgery – they can and do occur with any major surgical procedure. These risks include bleeding and the need for blood transfusion, injury to pelvic structures including the uterus, tubes, ovaries, bladder, and intestines, as



well as postoperative infection, blood clots, and the need for extended hospitalization. There is also the (albeit minimal) risk of injury to the baby during the surgery. Yet, for the most part, these are the same risks once faces with any abdominal surgery such as hysterectomy or appendectomy. The reason that many doctors prefer repeated c-sections is simply the fact that obstetricians usually feel more comfortable accepting surgical risks as compared to the VBAC risks. When VBAC complications occur, obstetricians may feel out of control, being only able to react to what has occurred. Most obstetricians don't like that feeling of vulnerability.

The approach to VBAC at the Fertility and Midwifery Care Center involves an effort to understand and describe the risks of both alternatives and to work with women to determine what is best for them on an individual basis. We believe many of the problems encountered in the past have resulted from policy makers attempting to force a given decision on all women and their physicians. Instead, this is a decision that should be based on an individual women's comfort with the various risks in close consultation with her obstetrician.

**Is there an ideal candidate for VBAC?** Research data says probably not, though this too is unclear. We like to think of potential VBAC candidates along a continuum from "ideal" on the one extreme, to "not-so-ideal" on the other.

The ideal candidate is probably a woman who has experienced one or more successful vaginal deliveries, but in a subsequent pregnancy, underwent cesarean section for what "one might" think of as "bad luck." Meaning that something occurred which is not necessarily likely to reoccur in the present pregnancy. Examples include a baby in the breech (bottom first) presentation, the prolapse of a baby's hand through the cervix, or the prolapse of the baby's umbilical cord through the cervix. Each of these problems require a cesarean section, but they are not likely to reoccur in subsequent pregnancies. A patient in this situation – who is very motivated to have a VBAC and understands the risks – is an ideal VBAC candidate.

What type of patient is not-so-ideal for VBAC? In discussing this with patients, we describe a woman who – in a previous pregnancy – labored and her cervix became fully dilated. Then she pushed for several hours, but was never able to deliver despite an adequate trial (and "adequate" can have several definitions). This patient is probably a less than ideal candidate. This does not mean that such a patient cannot or should not attempt VBAC, however. Patients with similar past experiences who are interested in VBAC should discuss with their physician.

Is there a patient who simply should not attempt VBAC? The data here is clearer. A woman who has undergone uterine surgery for the removal of fibroids and that surgery involved the full thickness of the uterine wall should not attempt VBAC. There is general agreement that a woman who has experienced a uterine rupture in the past should not attempt VBAC. A woman who has had a prior cesarean section, is now pregnant and her baby's weight is estimated to be greater than 4,500 grams (or approximately 9 lbs.) may not be an ideal candidate, but this is less clear and not an absolute contradiction to VBAC.

A word about our experience at Fertility & Midwifery Care Center. At the Fertility and Midwifery Care Center our overall vaginal birth after cesarean section (VBAC) success rate is an astonishing 83%. That means, in those patients with a history of prior cesarean section, our c-section rate is only 17%, which is considerably lower than the national average for patients who have not undergone a prior cesarean section.

Our VBAC success rate for those patients who had one prior cesarean is an amazing 87%. For patients with a history of two prior cesareans it is 67%.

- We take VBAC seriously, as do our patients. We strongly suggest to our patients they attend the childbirth preparation class, and in some cases, the special VBAC class offered by our friends at Birth Matters (www.birthmatters.com). When you are tired and the pregnancy is approaching its tenth month, the negative forces will attack you. Well-meaning friends will suggest there is something wrong because you're not in labor; poorly informed co-workers will suggest it is safer to have a repeat c-section than to attempt VBAC; concerned parents will suggest you should asked to be induced, and the list goes on. It's at this time that you need the confidence acquired from the professional childbirth instruction provided by Birth Matters.
- Labor is an athletic event, in some cases 36-48 hours in duration, and any such event requires training and conditioning. We encourage our patients to aggressively manage their weight gain during pregnancy and to do all they can to maximize their fitness and flexibility in preparation for labor. This can and should involve exercise classes and aerobic fitness, resistance training, and chiropractic therapy.
- Avoid unnecessary induction of labor, that is, when it is not medically indicated. While it can be tempting to consider inducing labor, particularly when you watch your due date pass, unnecessary induction will only increase the probability of the very thing you are trying to avoid: a cesarean section. Our community has an alarmingly high induction of labor rate such that it can seem normal when discussing it with friends and relatives. Nowhere is non-indicated or "social" induction of labor more harmful than with VBAC.

While all births are exciting and beautiful, there is something particularly magical when a woman who has undergone a cesarean section and, in many cases, has been told that vaginal birth was not an option for her,

goes on to deliver vaginally. "I did it!" is a common cheer at those moments. Many women carry with them deep emotional scars and unanswered questions from their prior cesarean births. They question what they might have done differently to prevent the surgery. They frequently question the decisions, interventions, and motivations of their healthcare providers in retrospect.

In summary, the most important take-away message when it comes to VBAC is the need for a detailed, transparent discussion with your obstetrical provider about the complexities and uncertainties related to VBAC as they relate to your specific set of circumstances. It is critical that you understand the issues outlined above – and that you relate to your specific set of circumstances – so that you can discuss them in a meaningful way with your provider. In this way, the two of you can come to a decision that is right for you and your baby.

If you are interested in reading more about VBAC, we suggest the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) Practice Bulletin #115, Vaginal Birth After Cesarean Delivery, August 2010. This publication can be found at <u>http://www.acog.org/Resources-And-Publications/Practice-Bulletins/Committee-on-Practice-Bulletins-Obstetrics/Vaginal-Birth-After-Previous-Cesarean-Delivery</u>.

Updated: 7.5.23